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Single-crystal X-ray study
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$R$ factor $=0.025$
$w R$ factor $=0.070$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=20.9$
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## (N-Cyclohexyl- N -methyldithiocarbamato)triphenyltin(IV)

The title compound, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]$, has an isobidentate ligand, with the tin coordination geometry intermediate between tetrahedral and distorted trigonal bipyramidal, owing to the presence of a long intramolecular Sn $\cdots$ S interaction of 3.0134 (8) Å.

## Comment

(Dithiocarbamato)diorganotin complexes such as bis(dimethyldithiocarbamato)dimethyltin (Kimura et al., 1972) and bis(diethyldithiocarbamato)diphenyltin (Lindley \& Carr, 1974) are known to show an expansion and distortion of their coordination geometries due to weak intramolecular $\mathrm{Sn} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ interactions. The nature of the ligands and the substituents at the tin atom also have an influence on the coordination environment in the complex.


The title compound, (I), a triorganotin system with an unsymmetrical ligand, is isostructural to ( $N$-butyl-$N$-methyldithiocarbamato)triphenyltin(IV), $\quad \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)\right]$ (Kana et al., 2001), and (diethyldithiocarbamato)triphenyltin(IV) (Lindley \& Carr, 1974). The isobidentate nature of the chelation, with $\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 1=$ 2.4558 (6) $\AA$ and a weak intramolecular $\mathrm{Sn} 1 \cdots$ S2 interaction of 3.0134 (8) $\AA$ [2.4631 (9) and 3.084 (1) $\AA$ respectively in $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)$ ], leads to a coordination geometry intermediate between tetrahedral and distorted trigonal bipyramidal. The angles $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 1, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 18$ and $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 1 \quad[116.14$ (5), 116.63 (8) and $116.28(6) \AA$, respectively] are close to $120^{\circ}$, as expected at equatorial positions, and the $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ angle for the axial positions is $158.43(6)^{\circ}$. Other bond parameters of the dithiocarbamate ligand are normal and similar to those in bis( $N$-cyclohexyl- $N$ methyldithiocarbamato)dimethyltin(IV), which has a severely distorted octahedral geometry (Awang et al., 2003.)

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by the addition of carbon disulfide, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(1.8 \mathrm{ml}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$, to an ethanolic solution of N methylcyclohexylamine ( $4 \mathrm{ml}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) with stirring for one hour at 269 K. Triphenyltin(IV) chloride ( $11.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) solution was then added and the solution mixture was stirred for another hour. The
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resulting white precipitate was filtered, washed with cold ethanol and dried in vacuo. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from a $1: 1$ mixture of ethanol and chloroform.

## Crystal data

| $\left[\mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NS}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$ | $Z=2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=538.31$ | $D_{x}=1.438 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| $a=9.7951(17) \AA$ | Cell parameters from 6115 |
| $b=11.342(2) \AA$ | reflections |
| $c=11.952(2) \AA$ | $\theta=1.8-27.6^{\circ}$ |
| $\alpha=75.037(3)^{\circ}$ | $\mu=1.21 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=75.962(3)^{\circ}$ | $T=273(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\gamma=89.058(3)^{\circ}$ | Block, white |
| $V=1242.9(4) \AA^{\circ}$ | $0.53 \times 0.42 \times 0.26 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan $S A D A B S$ (Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\text {min }}=0.566, T_{\text {max }}=0.744$
15537 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.025$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.070$
$S=1.06$
5658 reflections
271 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| Sn1-S1 | $2.4558(6)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{C} 19$ | $1.753(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $3.0134(8)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{C} 19$ | $1.680(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $2.136(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 19$ | $1.317(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $2.155(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $1.457(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 18$ | $2.125(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $1.482(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $106.24(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $116.14(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $102.95(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $116.63(8)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $94.08(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $116.28(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $96.88(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $158.43(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Sn} 1$ |  |

After their location in a difference Fourier map, all H -atoms were included in the refinement in geometrically calculated positions, and allowed to ride on the parent C atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-0.98 \AA$. respectively.


Figure 1
Molecular structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: $S H E L X T L$; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 1990).
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