# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Normah Awang, Ibrahim Baba, M. Sukeri M. Yusof and Bohari M. Yamin\*

School of Chemical Sciences and Food Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: bohari@pkrisc.cc.ukm.my

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.025 wR factor = 0.070 Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# (*N*-Cyclohexyl-*N*-methyldithiocarbamato)triphenyltin(IV)

The title compound,  $Ph_3Sn[S_2CN(Me)C_6H_{11}]$ , has an isobidentate ligand, with the tin coordination geometry intermediate between tetrahedral and distorted trigonal bipyramidal, owing to the presence of a long intramolecular  $Sn \cdots S$  interaction of 3.0134 (8) Å.

## Comment

(Dithiocarbamato)diorganotin complexes such as bis(dimethyldithiocarbamato)dimethyltin (Kimura *et al.*, 1972) and bis(diethyldithiocarbamato)diphenyltin (Lindley & Carr, 1974) are known to show an expansion and distortion of their coordination geometries due to weak intramolecular  $Sn \cdots S$ interactions. The nature of the ligands and the substituents at the tin atom also have an influence on the coordination environment in the complex.



The title compound, (I), a triorganotin system with an unsymmetrical ligand, is isostructural to (N-butyl-*N*-methyldithiocarbamato)triphenyltin(IV), Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn[S<sub>2</sub>CN-(CH<sub>3</sub>)(C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)] (Kana et al., 2001), and (diethyldithiocarbamato)triphenyltin(IV) (Lindley & Carr, 1974). The isobidentate nature of the chelation, with Sn1-S1 =2.4558 (6) Å and a weak intramolecular  $Sn1 \cdots S2$  interaction of 3.0134 (8) Å [2.4631 (9) and 3.084 (1) Å respectively in  $Ph_3Sn(S_2CN(CH_3)C_4H_9)]$ , leads to a coordination geometry intermediate between tetrahedral and distorted trigonal bipyramidal. The angles C6-Sn1-S1, C6-Sn1-C18 and C18-Sn1-S1 [116.14 (5), 116.63 (8) and 116.28(6) Å, respectively] are close to 120°, as expected at equatorial positions, and the C12-Sn1-S2 angle for the axial positions is 158.43 (6)°. Other bond parameters of the dithiocarbamate ligand are normal and similar to those in bis(N-cyclohexyl-Nmethyldithiocarbamato)dimethyltin(IV), which has a severely distorted octahedral geometry (Awang et al., 2003.)

## **Experimental**

The title compound was synthesized by the addition of carbon disulfide,  $CS_2$  (1.8 ml, 0.03 mol), to an ethanolic solution of *N*-methylcyclohexylamine (4 ml, 0.03 mol) with stirring for one hour at 269 K. Triphenyltin(IV) chloride (11.6 g, 0.03 mol) solution was then added and the solution mixture was stirred for another hour. The

 $\odot$  2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Received 28 May 2003

Accepted 2 June 2003

Online 10 June 2003

resulting white precipitate was filtered, washed with cold ethanol and dried in vacuo. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from a 1:1 mixture of ethanol and chloroform.

Z = 2

 $D_x = 1.438 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\theta=1.8\text{--}27.6^\circ$  $\mu = 1.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 273 (2) K

Block, white

Cell parameters from 6115

 $0.53 \times 0.42 \times 0.26 \text{ mm}$ 

 $2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Crystal data

 $[Sn(C_8H_{14}NS_2)(C_6H_5)_3]$  $M_r = 538.31$ Triclinic, P1 a = 9.7951 (17) Åb = 11.342 (2) Å c = 11.952 (2) Å $\alpha = 75.037 (3)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 75.962(3)^{\circ}$  $\gamma = 89.058 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 1242.9 (4) Å<sup>3</sup>

### Data collection

| Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-          | 5658 independent reflections           |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| detector diffractometer              | 5341 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ |
| $\omega$ scans                       | $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$                  |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan    | $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.6^{\circ}$      |
| SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996)             | $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$               |
| $T_{\min} = 0.566, T_{\max} = 0.744$ | $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$               |
| 15537 measured reflections           | $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$               |
| Refinement                           |  |

#### Refinement

| Refinement on $F^2$             | $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0401P)^2]$                    |
|---------------------------------|--|
| $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$ | + 0.3014P]   |
| $wR(F^2) = 0.070$               | where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$                             |
| S = 1.06                        | $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$                        |
| 5658 reflections                | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.53 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  |
| 271 parameters                  | $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ |
| H-atom parameters constrained   |  |

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

| Sn1-S1     | 2.4558 (6) | S1-C19      | 1.753 (2)  |
|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Sn1-S2     | 3.0134 (8) | S2-C19      | 1.680 (2)  |
| Sn1-C6     | 2.136 (2)  | N1-C19      | 1.317 (3)  |
| Sn1-C12    | 2.155 (2)  | N1-C20      | 1.457 (3)  |
| Sn1-C18    | 2.125 (2)  | N1-C21      | 1.482 (3)  |
| C6-Sn1-S1  | 116.14 (5) | C18-Sn1-C12 | 106.24 (8) |
| C18-Sn1-C6 | 116.63 (8) | C6-Sn1-C12  | 102.95 (8) |
| C18-Sn1-S1 | 116.28 (6) | C12-Sn1-S1  | 94.08 (6)  |
| C12-Sn1-S2 | 158.43 (6) | C19-S1-Sn1  | 96.88 (7)  |
|            |            |             |            |

After their location in a difference Fourier map, all H-atoms were included in the refinement in geometrically calculated positions, and allowed to ride on the parent C atoms, with C-H = 0.93-0.98 Å. respectively.





Molecular structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 1990).

The authors thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for research grants IRPA No.09-02-02-0048-EA144.

## References

Awang, N., Baba, I., Yusof, M. S. M. & Yamin, B. M. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m348-m349.

Kana, A. T., Hibbert, T. G., Mahon, M. F., Molloy, K. C., Parkin, I. P. & Price, L. S.(2001). Polyhedron, 20, 2989-2995.

Kimura, T., Yasuko, N. & Kakudo, N. (1972). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 45, 1649-1654.

Lindley, P. F. & Carr, P. (1974). J. Mol. Struct. 4, 173-185.

Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Siemens Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.